Novel Potent and Selective \( \sigma \) Ligands: Evaluation of Their Agonist and Antagonist Properties
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ABSTRACT: Novel enantiomers and diastereoisomers structurally related to \( \sigma \) ligand \((+)-MR200\) were synthesized to improve \( \sigma_1/\sigma_2 \) subtype selectivity. The selective \( \sigma_1 \) ligand \((-)-8\) showed an antagonistic profile determined by phenytoin differential modulation of binding affinity in vitro, confirmed in vivo by an increase of \( \kappa \) opioid analgesia. The \( \sigma_2 \) ligand \((-)-9\) displayed agonist properties in an in vitro isolated organ bath assay and antiproliferative effects on LNCaP and PC3 prostate cancer cell lines.

INTRODUCTION

The classification of \( \sigma \) receptors into two distinct subtypes, \( \sigma_1 \) and \( \sigma_2 \), based on molecular weight (25 and 18–21.5 kDa, respectively), tissue distribution, and subcellular localization has prompted interest in investigating the functional roles of these two receptor sites.

The \( \sigma_1 \) receptor is an intracellular chaperone protein associated with endoplasmic reticulum and mitochondrial membranes. Ligands of \( \sigma_1 \) receptors have been shown to prevent neuronal death related to glutamate toxicity, play a role in memory processes, and be involved in the development of cocaine-induced rewarding properties. Moreover, several studies have shown a relationship between \( \sigma_1 \) and opioid receptors in pain modulation, which suggests that a tonically active antiopioid \( \sigma_1 \) system markedly influences the sensitivity toward opioid analgesia, especially \( \kappa \)-opioid receptor mediated. Recently, studies have reported that a nonpolymorphic mutation (c.672*51G) in the 3'-untranslated region of the \( \sigma_1 \) receptor gene is involved in frontotemporal lobar degeneration–motor neuron disease, which is the most common cause of early onset dementia.

Although the \( \sigma_2 \) receptor subtype has not been cloned, \( \sigma_2 \) agonists have been shown to cause phosphatidylserine translocation, DNA fragmentation, and chromatin condensation, which indicates that \( \sigma_2 \) receptors induce apoptosis in diverse tumor cell types. The potential role of the \( \sigma_2 \) receptor in regulating cellular proliferation has led to an increased interest in investigating the biological function of this receptor. Furthermore, \( \sigma_2 \) selective ligands could be used as imaging agents for measuring the proliferative status of breast tumors by positron emission tomography analysis.

Because the specific pharmacological roles of the two receptor subtypes are still being defined, the design of selective \( \sigma \) subtype receptor ligands is the subject of pharmaceutical studies.

Recently, we reported the synthesis and pharmacological evaluation of \((+)-methyl (1R,2S)-2-\left\{4-(4\text{-chlorophenyl})-4\text{-hydroxypiperidin-1-yl}\right\}\text{methyl}-1\text{-phenylethyl-2-carboxylate} \[(+)-\text{MR200}, (+)-1\]. This new \( \sigma \) ligand, which is structurally related to haloperidol (Figure 1), has enhanced selectivity to different transporter and receptor systems.

In vivo evaluation of the ability of \((+)-1\) to increase \( \mu \), \( \delta \), and \( \kappa \)-opioid receptor analgesia provided evidence of an antagonistic \( \sigma_1 \) profile, but as previously reported, the \( \sigma_1/\sigma_2 \) selectivity of \((+)-1\) was not very high. To obtain compounds with improved selectivity, we synthesized new enantiomers and diastereoisomers with some modifications of the amino moiety of \((+)-1\). We eliminated the 4-chloro substituent from \((+)-1\); moreover, we synthesized tropane analogues to increase the structural rigidity and steric hindrance around the basic piperidine nitrogen atom. This last modification has already been reported for 3-(\(\alpha\)-aminoalkyl)-1H-indole \( \sigma \) ligands,

Figure 1. Structures of \((+)-1\), haloperidol, and \((-)-2\).
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which provided a compound with high affinity and selectivity for σ2 subtype receptors.15 All compounds were tested to determine σ1 and σ2 binding affinity and selectivity, and ligands with desirable values were also evaluated in other transporter and receptor systems. The pharmacological activity of the more selective σ1 ligand was assessed by evaluating the phenotypic differential modulation of binding affinity in guinea pig brain.14 Moreover, we analyzed the σ1 analogic modulation of the κ agonist (−)-U50,488H [trans-(15S,2R)-3,4-dichloro-N-methyl-N-[2-(1-pyrrolidinyl)]cyclohexyl]benzeneaceticamide, (−)-2] in rats.7,14 The activity of the compound with the best σ2 affinity and selectivity was evaluated by the inhibition of electrically evoked contractions in guinea pig ileum with desensitized σ1 receptor.15 In addition, we evaluated the antiproliferative effects on hormone sensitive LNCaP and hormone refractory PC3 prostate tumor cell lines, where the presence of σ2 receptors has been already demonstrated.16,17

Table 1. σ1 and σ2 Binding Affinities of Synthesized Compounds, Haloperidol, Haloperidol Metabolite II, and 1,3-Di-(2-tolyl)guanidine (DTG)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>compd</th>
<th>K_i ± SD (nM)^a</th>
<th>σ1</th>
<th>σ2</th>
<th>σ1/σ2^b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(+)-1</td>
<td>3.95 ± 0.56</td>
<td>21.9 ± 2.55</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(−)-1</td>
<td>5.61 ± 1.33</td>
<td>23.4 ± 2.77</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(+)-8</td>
<td>5.02 ± 1.26</td>
<td>28.2 ± 2.55</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(−)-8</td>
<td>7.01 ± 0.37</td>
<td>571 ± 4.77</td>
<td>81.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(+)-9</td>
<td>440 ± 4.23</td>
<td>58.3 ± 4.95</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(−)-9</td>
<td>520 ± 8.87</td>
<td>25.2 ± 3.22</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(+)-10</td>
<td>397 ± 5.56</td>
<td>943 ± 9.67</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(−)-10</td>
<td>198 ± 4.66</td>
<td>565 ± 7.56</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>haloperidol</td>
<td>2.20 ± 1.22</td>
<td>16.0 ± 2.68</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>haloperidol</td>
<td>5.4 ± 0.67</td>
<td>0.98 ± 0.23</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTG</td>
<td>69.4 ± 0.56</td>
<td>23.0 ± 0.56</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^a Each value is the mean ± SD of three determinations. ^b This value is derived from the K_i for σ2 binding affinity divided by the K_i for σ1 binding affinity. Values of >1 indicate selectivity for σ1 over σ2. Values of <1 indicate selectivity for σ2 over σ1.

Results and Discussion

Binding affinities for σ1 and σ2 receptors of the newly synthesized 8–10 and the two enantiomers (+)-1 and (−)-1 are reported in Table 1. Compounds (+)-8 and (−)-8 showed σ1 receptor affinities (K_i of 5.02 and 7.01 nM, respectively) similar to those of their respective enantiomers of 1 [i.e., (+)-4-chloro substituted (+)-1 (K_i = 3.95 nM) and (−)-1 (K_i = 5.61 nM)]. Although the (+)-8 enantiomer displayed a comparable σ2 receptor affinity with respect to (+)-1, the (−)-8 enantiomer possessed a lower σ2 binding affinity (K_i = 571 nM), which suggested that the (−)-8 enantiomer had increased σ1 subtype selectivity compared with (+)-1 and (−)-1. Compounds (+)-9 and (−)-9, which had increased structural rigidity and steric hinderance on the amino moiety, showed reduced σ1 binding affinity (K_i of 44.0 and 520 nM, respectively). Conversely, for σ2 receptor subtypes, we only observed a slight reduction for the (+)-9 isomer (K_i = 58.3 nM). Interestingly, the affinity of the (−)-9 enantiomer (K_i = 25.2 nM) did not differ from the affinity of (−)-1. The elimination of the hydroxyl group on (+)-10 and (−)-10 significantly decreased the affinity to both σ receptors, which was probably related to an additional structural rigidity that was not suitable for interactions with σ receptors. The present binding results revealed that (−)-8 was selective for the σ1 receptor and (−)-9 was moderately selective for the σ2 receptor. Therefore, we also investigated the affinity of these compounds for other neurotransmitter systems (see Supporting Information Table 2). Similar to the parent compound (+)-1,13 (−)-8 and (−)-9 showed very low affinity for opioid, dopamine (D1, D2, D3, D2α), SHT2A, and σ1 receptors compared with haloperidol. In addition, they did not show any significant affinities for other receptors or transporters tested.

Chemistry

The synthesis of the amines (3-exo)-3-(4-chlorophenyl)-8-azabicyclo[3.2.1]octan-3-ol (4) and (3-syn)-3-(4-chlorophenyl)-8-azabicyclo[3.2.1]octan-2-ene (6) originated from the treatment of commercially available tropine-3-one with 1-bromochlorobenzene and n-butyllithium at −70 °C (Scheme 1). Acidic dehydration of alcohol 3 with acetic acid and HCl (37%) generated alkene 5. Demethylation of 3 and 5 with 1-chloroethyl chloroformate provided the intermediate amines (for details, see Supporting Information). The reaction between commercially available amine 4-phenylpiperidin-4-ol, (3-exo)-3-(4-chlorophenyl)-8-azabicyclo[3.2.1]octan-3-ol (4), or (3-syn)-3-(4-chlorophenyl)-8-azabicyclo[3.2.1]octan-2-ene (6) with desensitized enteric amines (for details, see Supporting Information). The activity of the compound for other neurotransmitter systems (see Supporting Information Table 2). Similar to the parent compound (+)-1,13 (−)-8 and (−)-9 showed very low affinity for opioid, dopamine (D1, D2, D3, D2α), SHT2A, and σ1 receptors compared with haloperidol. In addition, they did not show any significant affinities for other receptors or transporters tested.
In our studies, phenytoin (250 μM and 1 mM) did not significantly modify the inhibition curves of (−)-8 (Figure 2A). The ratios of the control $K_i$ with respect to those obtained in the presence of phenytoin were slightly lower than unity (see Supporting Information Table 3), which has previously been reported for several known $\sigma_1$ antagonists, including the structurally related compound haloperidol.13,21 Similar results were obtained with the $\sigma_1$ antagonist parent (+)-1 (Figure 2B). To further validate this assay, we also tested the putative $\sigma_1$ agonist cocaine,3,22 and incubation with phenytoin markedly shifted the inhibition curves of cocaine to the left in a concentration-dependent manner (Figure 2C). Hill analysis of all competition curves yielded straight lines ($r^2 = 0.97$) with slopes or pseudo-Hill coefficients ($n_H$) close to unity for all drugs tested (in the presence or absence of phenytoin; see Supporting Information Table 3). This confirms the existence of a single population of binding sites with an $n_H$ that does not change in the presence of phenytoin.

In vivo results showed that (−)-8 (1 mg/kg sc), which was the dose used in previous experiments with (+)-1,7 did not affect basal tail flick latency (TFL), expressed as the mean area under the curve (MAUC), during the entire time of observation (60 min).

Figure 2. Inhibition of $[^3H](+)$-pentazocine binding by (−)-8 (A), (+)-1 (B), and cocaine (C) in the absence (○) or presence of 250 μM (○) or 1 mM (■) phenytoin.

Injection of the $\kappa$ agonist (−)-2 (5 mg/kg sc) significantly increased the nociceptive latency following thermal stimulation, which clearly demonstrated an analgesic effect. Indeed, the percent change from basal level of TFL, compared with the group of saline-treated rats, was increased from 1.07% to 75.6%. Pretreatment with (−)-8 (1 mg/kg sc) 45 min prior to (−)-2 (5 mg/kg sc) increased the antinociceptive effect of the opioid agonist (Figure 3). In particular, the calculated value of MAUC (118.2%) was significantly higher than the corresponding value obtained with (−)-2 (75.6%). Similar to haloperidol and (+)-1,6,7 (−)-8 demonstrated $\sigma_1$ antagonist actions, which confirmed the in vitro results obtained with the phenytoin binding assays.

In an ex vivo isolated organ bath experiment, (−)-9 displayed $\sigma_2$ partial agonist activity ($\alpha = 0.4$) in inhibiting twitch contraction with an $EC_{50}$ of 2.3 μM23 (Figure 4).

In LNCaP and PC3 cells, incubation with compound (−)-9 (100 μM) for 48 h caused an antiproliferative effect that was more pronounced in LNCaP cells (Figure 5). A similar pattern was observed for the reference compounds haloperidol and haloperidol metabolite II. Although LNCaP and PC3 cells have similar $\sigma_1/\sigma_2$ receptor density, (−)-9 did not exert the same activity in the two cell lines, which could be ascribed to the presence of some efflux pumps, such as P-glycoprotein (P-gp), in the hormone refractory PC3 cell line.24
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